


<b>Northminster MSCP demolition</b>
<b>Fiona McMillan, Director of Law and Governance</b>
<b>5<sup>th</sup> November 2019</b>

Responsible Director:	Fiona McMillan. Director of Law & Governance and Monitoring Officer
Does this Public report have any annex that contains exempt information?	YES Appendix 1, commercially sensitive results of the tender process, contains exempt information under paragraph 3 of Schedule 12 A of the Local Government Act 1972.
Is this a project and if so has it been registered on Verto?	YES Verto number: KEY/16Sept19/02

Decision taken	The appointment of the contractor to undertake the demolition of the Northminster Multi-storey car park.
Authorisation ( <i>delete as appropriate</i> )	This decision has been taken under:  <b>Express authorisation:</b> The decision was delegated to officers by the Cabinet Member for Strategic Planning and Commercial Strategy and Investments by way of the Cabinet Member Decision Notice dated 21st August 2019 – ‘The Future of the Northminster (Market) Multi-Storey Car Park (MSCP) - AUG19/CMDN/29’
Background Information	Concerns were raised as to the structural integrity of the Northminster multi-storey car park and the risks that these present to the public if urgent action is not taken to demolish it.  To ensure that public safety is maintained and to mitigate any ongoing risk / financial impact the council is working to tight timescales for the design, procurement and demolition works. To this end the council has undertaken an open market procurement to secure a contractor to demolish the car park as soon as is practicable and in accordance with relevant structural surveys.

	<p>The contract notice was published on Contract Finder on 7<sup>th</sup> October 2019, interested contractors were invited to visit the site and raise any clarifications between 11<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> October and bid submission closed on the 25<sup>th</sup> October.</p> <p>7no bids were received and these were evaluated in terms of quality and price (on a ratio of 40:60). One bidder withdrew during the process.</p> <p>The successful bidder was Armac Demolition Limited with a combined quality / price score of 71% and a contract price of £616,000.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="539 542 1497 875"> <thead> <tr> <th>Company</th> <th>Quality score %</th> <th>Quality ranking</th> <th>Price Score %</th> <th>Price ranking</th> <th>Combined score %</th> <th>Combined rank</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>20</td> <td>4</td> <td>44</td> <td>Withdrew</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>B</td> <td>20</td> <td>4</td> <td>34</td> <td>3</td> <td>54</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C</td> <td>22</td> <td>3</td> <td>5</td> <td>6</td> <td>27</td> <td>6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D</td> <td>27</td> <td>2</td> <td>16</td> <td>5</td> <td>43</td> <td>4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>E</td> <td>10</td> <td>6</td> <td>60</td> <td>1</td> <td>70</td> <td>2</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>F</b></td> <td><b>36</b></td> <td><b>1</b></td> <td><b>35</b></td> <td><b>2</b></td> <td><b>71</b></td> <td><b>1</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>G</td> <td>15</td> <td>5</td> <td>23</td> <td>4</td> <td>38</td> <td>5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Company	Quality score %	Quality ranking	Price Score %	Price ranking	Combined score %	Combined rank	A	20	4	44	Withdrew			B	20	4	34	3	54	3	C	22	3	5	6	27	6	D	27	2	16	5	43	4	E	10	6	60	1	70	2	<b>F</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>1</b>	G	15	5	23	4	38	5
Company	Quality score %	Quality ranking	Price Score %	Price ranking	Combined score %	Combined rank																																																			
A	20	4	44	Withdrew																																																					
B	20	4	34	3	54	3																																																			
C	22	3	5	6	27	6																																																			
D	27	2	16	5	43	4																																																			
E	10	6	60	1	70	2																																																			
<b>F</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>1</b>																																																			
G	15	5	23	4	38	5																																																			
Alternative options considered.	Relevant frameworks were explored but none met the requirements of the tender process.																																																								
Consultation	No consultation was seen as necessary.																																																								
Declarations / Conflicts of Interests (only if the decision falls under the 'Express Authorisation' category)	Not relevant																																																								
Carbon Impact Assessment	This will be completed prior to demolition.																																																								
Supporting documentation	Exempt Appendix 1 – Results of the tender process																																																								

<b>Officer signature</b>		<b>Date</b> 06/11/19
<b>Once signed please pass to Democratic Services and we will arrange publication.</b>		